

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/031,956	Applicant(s) JONSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Gladys JP Corcoran	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) Gladys JP Corcoran.

(2) John R. Ley.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

18, 21, 35, 42-44

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/PE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner telephoned Applicant to propose an Examiner's Amendment to place the Application in condition for Allowance by canceling claims 18, 42-44 as being rejected over prior art as set forth in the prior Office Action filed November 24, 2004; to clarify that the heating and the transferring energy steps in claim 21 are the same step; and to correct the dependency of claim 35. Examiner also indicated that amendments would be made to correct the claim status indicators to comply with 37 CFR 1.121. Applicant agreed to the changes.